References

1. Tolbod L.P. & Gormsen L.C. (2021). Routine clinical use of ^{15}O-water cardiac PET: Initial experience with a novel automated solution. European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging 2021 Volume 22, Supplement
2.
   - 2.1 Harms, H.J., et al., Comparison of clinical non-commercial tools for automated quantification of myocardial blood flow using oxygen-15-labelled water PET/CT. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2014. 15(4): p. 431-41.
   - 2.2 Danad, I., et al., Impact of anatomical and functional severity of coronary atherosclerotic plaques on the transmural perfusion gradient: a [15O]H2O PET study. Eur Heart J, 2014. 35(31): p. 2094-105.
   - 2.3 van Diemen, P.A., et al., Defining the prognostic value of [15O]H2O positron emission tomography-derived myocardial ischaemic burden. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2021. 22(6): p. 638-646.
   - 2.4 Jukema, R., et al., Warranty period of coronary computed tomography angiography and [15O]H2O positron emission tomography in symptomatic patients. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2023. 24(3): p. 304-311.
   - 2.5 Nordstrom, J., et al., Influence of image reconstruction on quantitative cardiac (15)O-water positron emission tomography. J Nucl Cardiol, 2022.
   - 2.6 Nordstrom, J., et al., Effect of PET-CT misalignment on the quantitative accuracy of cardiac (15)O-water PET. J Nucl Cardiol, 2022. 29(3): p. 1119-1128.
   - 2.7 Nordstrom, J., et al., Influence of patient motion on quantitative accuracy in cardiac (15)O-water positron emission tomography. J Nucl Cardiol, 2022. 29(4): p. 1742-1752.
   - 2.8 Clemmensen, T.S., et al., Clinical features, exercise hemodynamics, and determinants of left ventricular elevated filling pressure in heart-transplanted patients. Transpl Int, 2016. 29(2): p. 196-206.
   - 2.9 Clemmensen, T.S., et al., Noninvasive Detection of Cardiac Allograft Vasculopathy by Stress Exercise Echocardiographic Assessment of Myocardial Deformation. J Am Soc Echocardiogr, 2016. 29(5): p. 480-90.
   - 2.10 Clemmensen, T.S., et al., Right ventricular hemodynamics and performance in relation to perfusion during first year after heart transplantation. ESC Heart Fail, 2021. 8(5): p. 4018-4025.
   - 2.11 Clemmensen, T.S., et al., Myocardial Perfusion and the Relation to Central Hemodynamics in the Acute Phase After Heart Transplantation. The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2018. 37(4).
   - 2.12 LC Gormsen, M Svart, HH Thomsen, E Søndergaard, M Vendelbo, N Christensen, LP Tolbod, HJ Harms, R Nielsen, H Wiggers, N Jessen, J Hansen, HE Bøtker, N Møller. Ketone Body Infusion With 3-Hydroxybutyrate Reduces Myocardial Glucose Uptake and Increases

Blood Flow in Humans: A Positron Emission Tomography Study. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017 Feb 27;6(3). DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.116.005066.)
2.13 Gronman, M., et al., Assessment of myocardial viability with [(15)O]water PET: A validation study in experimental myocardial infarction. J Nucl Cardiol, 2021. 28(4): p. 1271-1280.
2.14 Harms, H.J., et al., Association of Right Ventricular Myocardial Blood Flow With Pulmonary Pressures and Outcome in Cardiac Amyloidosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging, 2023. 16(9): p. 1193-1204
2.15 Harms, H.J., et al., Automatic extraction of forward stroke volume using dynamic PET/CT: a dual-tracer and dual-scanner validation in patients with heart valve disease. EJNMMI Phys, 2015. 2(1): p. 25.
2.16 Kero, T., et al., Evaluation of quantitative CMR perfusion imaging by comparison with simultaneous (15)O-water-PET. J Nucl Cardiol, 2021. 28(4): p. 1252-1266.
2.17 Kero, T., et al., Quantitative myocardial blood flow imaging with integrated time-of-flight PET-MR. EJNMMI Phys, 2017. 4(1): p. 1.
2.18 Kero, T., et al., Quantitative myocardial perfusion response to adenosine and regadenoson in patients with suspected coronary artery disease. J Nucl Cardiol, 2022. 29(1): p. 24-36.
2.19 Kjeld, T., et al., Cardiac hypoxic resistance and decreasing lactate during maximum apnea in elite breath hold divers. Sci Rep, 2021. 11(1): p. 2545.
2.20 Magnusson, P., et al., Positron emission tomography ((15)O-water, (11)C-acetate, (11)C-HED) risk markers and nonsustained ventricular tachycardia in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc, 2020. 26: p. 100452.
2.21 Nielsen, R., et al., Heart failure patients with prediabetes and newly diagnosed diabetes display abnormalities in myocardial metabolism. J Nucl Cardiol, 2018. 25(1): p. 169-176.
2.22 Nielsen, R., et al., Effect of liraglutide on myocardial glucose uptake and blood flow in stable chronic heart failure patients: A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled LIVE sub-study. J Nucl Cardiol, 2019. 26(2): p. 585-597.
2.23 Nordstrom, J., et al., Calculation of left ventricular volumes and ejection fraction from dynamic cardiac-gated (15)O-water PET/CT: 5D-PET. EJNMMI Phys, 2017. 4(1): p. 26.
2.24 Nordstrom, J., et al., Left-ventricular volumes and ejection fraction from cardiac ECG-gated (15)O-water positron emission tomography compared to cardiac magnetic resonance imaging using simultaneous hybrid PET/MR. J Nucl Cardiol, 2023. 30(4): p. 1352-1362
2.25 Pryds, K., et al., Effect of remote ischemic conditioning on myocardial perfusion in patients with suspected ischemic coronary artery disease. J Nucl Cardiol, 2018. 25(3): p. 887-896.
2.26 Vester, M., et al., Myocardial perfusion imaging by (15)O-H(2)O positron emission tomography predicts clinical revascularization procedures in

symptomatic patients with previous coronary artery bypass graft. Eur Heart J Open, 2023. 3(3): p. oead044.
2.27 Bakkum, M.J., et al., The impact of obesity on the relationship between epicardial adipose tissue, left ventricular mass and coronary microvascular function. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging, 2015. 42(10): p. 1562-73.
2.28 Bom, M.J., et al., Diagnostic value of longitudinal flow gradient for the presence of haemodynamically significant coronary artery disease. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2019. 20(1): p. 21-30.
2.29 Bom, M.J., et al., Impact of individualized segmentation on diagnostic performance of quantitative positron emission tomography for haemodynamically significant coronary artery disease. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2019. 20(5): p. 525-532.
2.30 Bom, M.J., et al., Prognostic value of [15O]H2O positron emission tomography-derived global and regional myocardial perfusion. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2020. 21(7): p. 777-786.
2.31 Chen, W.J., et al., Effect of type 2 diabetes mellitus on epicardial adipose tissue volume and coronary vasomotor function. Am J Cardiol, 2014. 113(1): p. 90-7.
2.32 Chen, W.J.Y., et al., Effects of exenatide on cardiac function, perfusion, and energetics in type 2 diabetic patients with cardiomyopathy: a randomized controlled trial against insulin glargine. Cardiovasc Diabetol, 2017. 16(1): p. 67.
2.33 Danad, I., et al., Quantitative relationship between coronary artery calcium score and hyperemic myocardial blood flow as assessed by hybrid ^{15}O-water PET/CT imaging in patients evaluated for coronary artery disease. 2012. 19(2): p. 256-64.
2.34 Danad, I., et al., Hybrid imaging using quantitative H215O PET and CT-based coronary angiography for the detection of coronary artery disease. J Nucl Med, 2013. 54(1): p. 55-63.
2.35 Danad, I., et al., Coronary risk factors and myocardial blood flow in patients evaluated for coronary artery disease: a quantitative [15O]H2O PET/CT study. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging, 2012. 39(1): p. 102-12.
2.36 Danad, I., et al., Effect of cardiac hybrid (1)(5)O-water PET/CT imaging on downstream referral for invasive coronary angiography and revascularization rate. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2014. 15(2): p. 170-9.
2.37 Danad, I., et al., Carotid artery intima-media thickness, but not coronary artery calcium, predicts coronary vascular resistance in patients evaluated for coronary artery disease. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2012. 13(4): p. 317-23.
2.38 de Haan, S., et al., Parametric imaging of myocardial viability using (1)(5)O-labelled water and PET/CT: comparison with late gadolinium-enhanced CMR. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging, 2012. 39(8): p. 1240-5.
2.39 de Waard, G.A., et al., Fractional flow reserve, instantaneous wave-free ratio, and resting Pd/Pa compared with [15O]H2O positron emission

tomography myocardial perfusion imaging: a PACIFIC trial sub-study. Eur Heart J, 2018. 39(46): p. 4072-4081.

2.40 de Winter, R.W., et al., The impact of coronary revascularization on vessel-specific coronary flow capacity and long-term outcomes: a serial [15O]H2O positron emission tomography perfusion imaging study. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2022. 23(6): p. 743-752.

2.41 Driessen, R.S., et al., Incremental prognostic value of hybrid [15O]H2O positron emission tomography-computed tomography: combining myocardial blood flow, coronary stenosis severity, and high-risk plaque morphology. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2020. 21(10): p. 1105-1113.

2.42 Driessen, R.S., et al., Impact of Revascularization on Absolute Myocardial Blood Flow as Assessed by Serial [(15)O]H(2)O Positron Emission Tomography Imaging: A Comparison With Fractional Flow Reserve. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging, 2018. 11(5): p. e007417.

2.43 Driessen, R.S., et al., Effect of Plaque Burden and Morphology on Myocardial Blood Flow and Fractional Flow Reserve. J Am Coll Cardiol, 2018. 71(5): p. 499-509.

2.44 Everaars, H., et al., Doppler Flow Velocity and Thermodilution to Assess Coronary Flow Reserve: A Head-to-Head Comparison With [(15)O]H(2)O PET. JACC Cardiovasc Interv, 2018. 11(20): p. 2044-2054.

2.45 Everaars, H., et al., Continuous thermodilution to assess absolute flow and microvascular resistance: validation in humans using [15O]H2O positron emission tomography. Eur Heart J, 2019. 40(28): p. 2350-2359.

2.46 Everaars, H., et al., Comparison between cardiac magnetic resonance stress T1 mapping and [15O]H2O positron emission tomography in patients with suspected obstructive coronary artery disease. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2022. 23(2): p. 229-237.

2.47 Everaars, H., et al., Comparison between quantitative cardiac magnetic resonance perfusion imaging and [(15)O]H(2)O positron emission tomography. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging, 2020. 47(7): p. 1688-1697.

2.48 Harms, H.J., et al., Use of a Single 11C-Meta-Hydroxyephedrine Scan for Assessing Flow-Innervation Mismatches in Patients with Ischemic Cardiomyopathy. J Nucl Med, 2015. 56(11): p. 1706-11.

2.49 Heijne, M., et al., Coronary steal: revealing the diagnosis with quantitative cardiac PET/CT. J Nucl Cardiol, 2010. 17(6): p. 1118-21.

2.50 Rijnierse, M.T., et al., Non-invasive imaging to identify susceptibility for ventricular arrhythmias in ischaemic left ventricular dysfunction. Heart, 2016. 102(11): p. 832-40.

2.51 Rijnierse, M.T., et al., Impaired hyperemic myocardial blood flow is associated with inducibility of ventricular arrhythmia in ischemic cardiomyopathy. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging, 2014. 7(1): p. 20-30.

2.52 Stuijfzand, W.J., et al., Prevalence of ischaemia in patients with a chronic total occlusion and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2017. 18(9): p. 1025-1033.

2.53 Stuijfzand, W.J., et al., Myocardial Blood Flow and Coronary Flow Reserve During 3 Years Following Bioresorbable Vascular Scaffold Versus Metallic Drug-Eluting Stent Implantation: The VANISH Trial. JACC Cardiovasc Interv, 2019. 12(10): p. 967-979.

2.54 Stuijfzand, W.J., et al., Relative flow reserve derived from quantitative perfusion imaging may not outperform stress myocardial blood flow for identification of hemodynamically significant coronary artery disease. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging, 2015. 8(1).

2.55 Teunissen, P.F., et al., Coronary vasomotor function in infarcted and remote myocardium after primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Heart, 2015. 101(19): p. 1577-83.

2.56 Timmer, S.A.J., et al., In vivo assessment of myocardial viability after acute myocardial infarction: A head-to-head comparison of the perfusable tissue index by PET and delayed contrast-enhanced CMR. J Nucl Cardiol, 2017. 24(2): p. 657-667.

2.57 van Diemen, P.A., et al., Prognostic Value of RCA Pericoronary Adipose Tissue CT-Attenuation Beyond High-Risk Plaques, Plaque Volume, and Ischemia. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging, 2021. 14(8): p. 1598-1610.

3. LP Tolbod, LC Gormsen: Routine clinical use of ^{15}O-water cardiac PET: Initial experience with a novel automated solution. European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging, Volume 22, Issue Supplement_3, June 2021, jeab111.029.
4. Tomiyama Y, Manabe O, Oyama-Manabe N, Naya M, Sugimori H, Hirata K, Mori Y, Tsutsui H, Kudo K, Tamaki N et al: Quantification of myocardial blood flow with dynamic perfusion 3.0 Tesla MRI: Validation with (15) O-water PET. J Magn Reson Imaging 2015, 42(3):754-762.
5. Ito Y, Katoh C, Noriyasu K, Kuge Y, Furuyama H, Morita K, Kohya T, Kitabatake A, Tamaki N: Estimation of myocardial blood flow and myocardial flow reserve by 99mTc-sestamibi imaging: comparison with the results of [15O]H2O PET. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2003, 30(2):281-287.
6. De Bruyne B, Baudhuin T, Melin JA, Pijls NH, Sys SU, Bol A, Paulus WJ, Heyndrickx GR, Wijns W: Coronary flow reserve calculated from pressure measurements in humans. Validation with positron emission tomography. Circulation 1994, 89(3):1013-1022.
7. Dijkmans PA, Knaapen P, Sieswerda GT, Aiazian E, Visser CA, Lammertsma AA, Visser FC, Kamp O: Quantification of myocardial perfusion using intravenous myocardial contrast echocardiography in healthy volunteers: comparison with positron emission tomography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2006, 19(3):285-293.
8. MG Stabin, JB Stubbs and RE Toohey, Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education. Radiation Dose Estimates for Radiopharmaceuticals NUREG/CR-6345.